## New $\Sigma_3^1$ Facts

## Sy D. Friedman\*

## M.I.T.

Assume that  $0^{\#}$  exists and that M is an inner model of ZFC,  $0^{\#} \notin M$ . Then of course M is not  $\Sigma_3^1$ -correct: the true  $\Sigma_3^1$  sentence " $0^{\#}$  exists" is false in M. In this article we use a result about L-definable partitions (which may be of independent interest) to show that in fact this effect can be achieved by forcing over M. We work in Morse-Kelly class theory.

**Theorem 1** Assume that  $0^{\#}$  exists. There exists an  $\omega$ -sequence of true  $\Sigma_3^1$  sentences  $\langle \varphi_n \mid n \in \omega \rangle$  such that if M is an inner model,  $0^{\#} \notin M$ :

- (a)  $\varphi_n$  is false in M for some n.
- (b) For each n, some generic extension of M satisfies  $\varphi_n$ .

Moreover if M = L[R], R a real then these generic extensions can be taken as inner models of  $L[R, 0^{\#}]$ .

The above result is based on the next result, concerning L-definable partitions.

**Theorem 2** There exists an L-definable function n: L-Singulars  $\to \omega$  such that if M is an inner model,  $0^\# \notin M$ :

- (a) For some  $n, M \models \{\alpha \mid n(\alpha) \leq n\}$  is stationary.
- (b) For each n there is a generic extension of M in which  $0^{\#}$  does not exist and  $\{\alpha \mid n(\alpha) \leq n\}$  is non-stationary.

**Remark** "Stationary in M" means: intersects every M-definable (with parameters) CUB.

<sup>\*</sup>Research supported by NSF Contract #9625997-DMS

Proof: We define  $n(\alpha)$ . Let  $\langle C_{\alpha} \mid \alpha L$ -singular be an L-definable  $\square$ -sequence:  $C_{\alpha}$  is CUB in  $\alpha$ ,  $otC_{\alpha} = \text{ordertype } C_{\alpha} < \alpha$  and  $\bar{\alpha} \in \lim C_{\alpha} \to C_{\bar{\alpha}} = C_{\alpha} \cap \bar{\alpha}$ . If  $otC_{\alpha}$  is L-regular then  $n(\alpha) = 0$ . Otherwise  $n(\alpha) = n(otC_{\alpha}) + 1$ .

(a) is clear, as otherwise there is a CUB  $C \subseteq L$ -regulars amenable to M, contradicting that Covering Theorem and the hypothesis that  $0^{\#}$  does not belong to M.

Now we prove (b). Fix  $n \in \omega$ . In M let P consist of closed, bounded  $p \subseteq$  ORD such that  $\alpha \in p \to \alpha$  L-regular or  $n(\alpha) \ge n+1$ , ordered by  $p \le q$  iff p end extends q.

We claim that P is  $\infty$ -distributive in M. Suppose that  $p \in P$  and  $\langle D_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  is a definable sequence of open dense subclasses of P,  $\kappa$  regular. We wish to find  $q \leq p$ ,  $q \in D_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha < \kappa$ . Let  $C = \{\beta \mid \beta \text{ a strong limit cardinal, for all } \alpha < \kappa : r \in V_{\beta} \to \exists s \leq r(s \in V_{\beta}, s \in D_{\alpha})\}$ , a CUB class of ordinals. It suffices to show that  $C \cap \{\beta \mid n(\beta) \geq n+1\}$  has a closed subset of ordertype  $\kappa + 1$ , for then p can be successively extended  $\kappa$  times meeting the  $D_{\alpha}$ 's, to conditions with maximum in  $\{\beta \mid n(\beta) \geq n+1\}$ ; the final condition (at stage  $\kappa$ ) extends p and meets each  $D_{\alpha}$ .

**Lemma 3** Suppose  $m \geq n$ ,  $\alpha$  is regular and C is a closed set of ordinals greater than  $\alpha^{+m}$  of ordertype  $\alpha^{+m} + 1$  (where  $\alpha^{+0} = \alpha$ ,  $\alpha^{+(k+1)} = (\alpha^{+k})^+$ ). Then  $C \cap \{\beta \mid n(\beta) \geq n\}$  has a closed subset of ordertype  $\alpha^{+(m-n)} + 1$ .

Proof of Lemma 3: By induction on n. Suppose n = 0. Let  $\beta = \max C$ . Then  $\beta$  is singular and hence singular in L. So  $C_{\beta}$  is defined and  $\lim(C_{\beta} \cap C)$  is a closed set of ordertype  $\alpha^{+m} + 1$  consisting of L-singulars. So  $\lim(C_{\beta} \cap C) \subseteq C \cap \{\gamma \mid n(\gamma) \geq 0\}$  satisfies the lemma.

Suppose the lemma holds for n and let  $m \geq n$ , C a closed set of ordertype  $\alpha^{+(m+1)} + 1$  consisting of ordinals greater than  $\alpha^{+(m+1)}$ . Let  $\beta = \max C$ . Then  $C_{\beta}$  is defined and  $D = \lim(C_{\beta} \cap C)$  is a closed set of ordertype  $\alpha^{+(m+1)} + 1$ . Let  $\bar{\beta} = (\alpha^{+m} + \alpha^{+m} + 1)$ st element of D. Then  $\bar{D} = \{otC_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in D, (\alpha^{+m} + 1)$ st element of  $D \leq \gamma \leq \bar{\beta}\}$  is a closed set of ordertype  $\alpha^{+m} + 1$  consisting of ordinals greater than  $\alpha^{+m}$ . By induction there is a closed  $\bar{D}_0 \subseteq \bar{D} \cap \{\gamma \mid n(\gamma) \geq n\}$  of ordertype  $\alpha^{+(m-n)} + 1$ . But then  $D_0 = \{\gamma \in D \mid otC_{\gamma} \in \bar{D}_0\}$  is a closed subset of  $C \cap \{\gamma \mid n(\gamma) \geq n + 1\}$  of ordertype  $\alpha^{+(m-n)} + 1$ . As  $\alpha^{+(m-n)} = \alpha^{+((m+1)-(n+1))}$  we are done.

By the lemma,  $C \cap \{\beta \mid n(\beta) \geq n\}$  has arbitrary long closed subsets for any n, for any CUB  $C \subseteq ORD$ . It follows that P is  $\infty$ -distributive. Now to prove (b), we apply the forcing P to M, producing C witnessing the nonstationarity of  $\{\alpha \mid n(\alpha) \leq n\}$ , and then follow this with the forcing to code  $\langle M, C \rangle$  by a real, making C definable. Of course this will not produce  $0^{\#}$  as every successor to a strong limit cardinal is preserved in the coding.

We also note that in Theorem 2 the generic extension can be formed in  $L[R, 0^{\#}]$  in the case M = L[R], R a real, using the fact that in  $L[R, 0^{\#}]$ , generics can be constructed for P (an "Amenable" forcing) and for Jensen coding (see [99, Friedman]).

Proof of Theorem 1: We use David's trick (see [98, Friedman]). Let  $\varphi_n$  be the  $\Sigma_3^1$  sentence:  $\exists R \forall \alpha(L_\alpha[R] \models ZF^- \to L_\alpha[R] \models \beta$  a limit cardinal  $\to \beta$  L-regular or  $n(\beta) \geq n$ ). By Theorem 2(b) and cardinal collapsing (to guarantee that limit cardinals  $\beta$  are either L-regular or satisfy  $n(\beta) \geq n$ ), M has a generic extension  $L[R] \models \beta$  a limit cardinal  $\to \beta$  L-regular or  $n(\beta) \geq n$  (inside  $L[S, 0^{\#}]$  if M = L[S], S a real). By David's trick we can in fact obtain  $\varphi_n$  in L[R].

**Question** Can the generic extensions in Theorem 1(b) be taken to have the same cofinalities as M, in case M satisfies GCH?

## References

- [98] Sy D. Friedman, David's Trick, to appear, Proceedings of the European Summer Meeting of the ASL, Leeds, England, 1998.
- [99] Sy D. Friedman, Fine Structure and Class Forcing, book, rough draft.