δ_2^1 Without Sharps

Sy D. Friedman,*
Department of Mathematics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139 USA
e-mail: sdf@math.mit.edu
W. Hugh Woodin, UC Berkeley
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720 USA
e-mail: woodin@math.berkeley.edu

 δ_{-2}^{1} denotes the supremum of the lengths of Δ_{-2}^{1} prewellorderings of the reals. A result of Kunen and Martin (see Martin[77]) states that δ_{-2}^{1} is at most ω_{2} and it is known that in the presence of sharps the assumption $\delta_{-2}^{1} = \omega_{2}$ is strong: it implies the consistency of a strong cardinal (see Steel-Welch[?]).

In this paper we show how to obtain the consistency of $\delta^1 = \omega_2$ in the absence of sharps, without strong assumptions.

Theorem. Assume the consistency of an inaccessible. Then it is consistent that $\delta^1 = \omega_2$ and ω_1 is inaccessible to reals (i.e., $\omega_1^{L[x]}$ is countable for each real x).

The proof is obtained by combining the Δ_1 -coding technique of Friedman-Velickovic [95] with the use of a product of Jensen codings of Friedman [94]. We begin with a description of the Δ_1 -coding technique.

Definitions. Suppose x is a set, $\langle x, \epsilon \rangle$ satisfies the axiom of extensionality and $A \subseteq \text{ORD}$. x preserves A if $\langle x, A \cap x \rangle \cong \langle \overline{x}, A \cap \overline{x} \rangle$ where $\overline{x} = \text{transitive}$ collapse of x. For any ordinal δ , $x[\delta] = \{f(\gamma) | \gamma < \delta, f \in x, f \text{ a function,}$ $\gamma \in \text{Dom}(f)\}$. x strongly preserves A if $x[\delta]$ preserves A for every cardinal δ . A sequence $x_0 \subseteq x_1 \subseteq \ldots$ is tight if it is continuous and for each i,

^{*}Research supported by NSF Contract # 9205530. Mathematical Reviews Classification Numbers: 03E15.03E35.03E55.

 $\langle \bar{x}_j | j < i \rangle$ belongs to the least ZF^- -model which contains \bar{x}_i as an element and correctly computes $\operatorname{card}(\bar{x}_i)$.

Condensation Condition for A. Suppose t is transitive, δ is regular, $\delta \in t$ and $x \in t$. Then:

- (a) There exists a continuous, tight δ -sequence $x_0 \prec x_1 \prec \cdots \prec t$ such that $\operatorname{card}(x_i) = \delta$, $x \in x_0$ and x_i strongly preserves A, for each i.
- (b) If δ is inaccessible then there exist x_i 's as above but where $\operatorname{card}(x_i) = \aleph_i$.

The following is proved in Friedman-Velickovic [95].

 Δ_1 -Coding. Suppose V = L and the Condensation Condition holds for A. Then A is Δ_1 in a class-generic real R, preserving cardinals.

Now we are ready to begin the proof of the Theorem. Suppose κ is the least inaccessible and V = L. Let $\langle \alpha_i | i < \kappa^+ \rangle$ be the increasing list of all $\alpha \in (\kappa, \kappa^+)$ such that $L_{\alpha} = \text{Skolem hull } (\kappa) \text{ in } L_{\alpha}$. For each $i < \kappa^+$ define $f_i : \kappa \longrightarrow \kappa$ by $f_i(\gamma) = \text{ordertype } (ORD \cap \text{Skolem hull } (\gamma) \text{ in } L_{\alpha_i})$. By identifying f_i with its graph and using a pairing function we can think of f_i as a subset of κ . The following is straightforward.

Lemma 1. Each f_i obeys the Condensation Condition. Indeed $\langle f_i | i < \kappa^+ \rangle$ jointly obeys the Condensation Condition in the following sense: Suppose t is transitive, δ is regular, $\delta \in t$, $x \in t$. Then their exists a tight δ -sequence $x_0 \prec x_1 \prec \cdots \prec t$ such that $card(x_i) = \delta, x \in x_0$ and each x_i strongly preserves all f_j for $j \in x_i$ (and if $\delta = \kappa$ then we can alternatively require $card(x_i) = \aleph_i$).

Now, following Friedman [94] we use a "diagonally-supported" product of Jensen-style codings. For each $i < \kappa^+$ let $\mathcal{P}(i)$ be the forcing from Friedman-Velickovic [95] to make f_i Δ_1 -definable in a class-generic real. Then \mathcal{P} consists of all $p \in \prod_{i < \kappa^+} \mathcal{P}(i)$ such that for infinite ordinals γ , $\{i|p(i)(\gamma) \neq (\phi,\phi)\}$ has cardinality at most α and in addition $\{i|p(i)(0) \neq (\phi,\phi)\}$ is finite.

Now note that for successor cardinals $\gamma < \kappa$ the forcing \mathcal{P} factors as $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma} * \mathcal{P}^{G_{\gamma}}$ where \mathcal{P}_{γ} forces that $\mathcal{P}^{G_{\gamma}}$ has the γ^+ -CC. Also the joint Condensation Condition of Lemma 1 implies that the argument of Theorem 3 of Friedman-

Velickovic [95] can be applied here to show that \mathcal{P}_{γ} is $\leq \gamma$ -distributive, and also that \mathcal{P} is Δ -distributive (if $\langle D_i | i < \kappa \rangle$ is a sequence of predense sets then it is dense to reduce each D_i below \aleph_{i+1}). So \mathcal{P} preserves cofinalities.

Thus in a cardinal-preserving forcing extension of L we have produced κ^+ reals $\langle R_i | i < \kappa^+ \rangle$ where R_i Δ_1 -codes f_i and hence there are well-orderings of κ of any length $< \kappa^+$ which are Δ_1 in a real. Finally Lévy collapse to make $\kappa = \omega_1$ and we have $\delta_2^1 = \omega_2$, ω_1 inaccessible to reals.

The above proof also shows the following, which may be of independent interest.

Theorem 2. Let $\delta_{\kappa}(\kappa)$ be the sup of the lengths of wellorderings of κ which are Δ_{κ} over $L_{\kappa}[x]$ for some x, a bounded subset of κ . Then (relative to the consistency of an inaccessible) it is consistent that κ be weakly inaccessible and $\delta_{\kappa}(\kappa) = \kappa^{+}$.

Remark. The conclusion of Theorem 2 cannot hold in the context of sharps: if κ is weakly inaccessible and every bounded subset of κ has a sharp then $\delta_{\sim 1}(\kappa) < \kappa^+$. This is because $\delta_{\sim 1}(\kappa)$ is then the second uniform indiscernible for bounded subsets of κ , which can be written as the direct limit of the second uniform indiscernible for subsets of δ , as δ ranges over cardinals less than κ ; so $\delta_{\sim 1}(\kappa)$ has cardinality κ .

Using the least inner model closed under sharp, we can also obtain the following.

Theorem 3. Assuming it is consistent for every set to have a sharp, then this is also consistent with $\delta_{\sim 3}^1 = \omega_2$.

References

Friedman [94] A Large Π_2^1 Set, Absolute for Set Forcings, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 122, No. 1, pp. 253-256

Friedman-Velickovic [95] Δ_1 -Definability, to appear.

Martin [77] Descriptive Set Theory: Projective Sets, in **Handbook of Mathematical Logic**, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics 90, Barwise (editor), pp. 783-815.

Steel-Welch [?] Σ_3^1 Absoluteness and the Second Uniform Indiscernible, to appear, Israel Journal of Mathematics